# Format for information to SQM for Inspection of PMGSY Work PART I— Work Information (To be filled-up by PIU)

GEN	ERAL: Work is Ongoing Completed
1.1.	Date of Inspection: Dis Miss 120 2 2 12 2 2 2
1.2.	Name of State Quality Monitor:
1.3.	District: Khagaria Block: Mari
1.4. 1.5.	Package No.: Prom kharoa LO28 to Koyla Basua
1.6. Total.	Length:Km Flexible Pavement,Km. CC/other Pavementm. =
1.7.	Estimated Cost (As cleared by GOI): Rs. 179.99 Lakh
1.8.	Technical Sanction Cost: Rs. 156.02 Lakh
1.9.	The Work is a Case of: New connectivity Up gradation
1.10.	Terrain Plain Rolling Hilly
1.11.	Date of Start of the Work: 18 12 17
1.12.	Stipulated Date of Completion: 18 12 17  13 12 18
1.13.	Actual Date of Completion (if work completed):
2.	PHYSICAL PROGRESS: (In case of On going works only) Construction amme and Physical Progress:

Item	Completed percentage of Item	Dates for completion	Start	Completion Date	Delay in Months
Earth Work	100%	Due			THOTHEIS
	100 /.	Actual	TAI DE		
CD Works	90%	Due			
		Actual			
Sub base i/c	100%	Due			
Shoulders	100/	Actual			
Base Course (Non	98./.	Due			
Bitu.)	987.	Actual			
Base / Wearing		Due			BON 250
Course(Bitu.)		Actual			
CC Pavement		Due			
		Actual			
Signage etc	50%	Due	FLAR		
		Actual			

#### 3. QUALITY CONTROL:

- 3.1. Location of Field Laboratory: 2.0 km
- 3.2. Quality Control Register Part-I is maintained by: Contractor
- 3.3. Quality Control Register Part-II is maintained by: PIU

#### 4. INSPECTIONS BY NQM, SQM or SENIOR OFFICERS AND ACTION TAKEN:

Inspection by NQMs, SQMs and senior (i.e. SE or CE) departmental officers and action taken statement:

Date of Visit	Inspected By	Observations	Action Taken by PIU with Date
25/04/18	Surendra Kumar Mandal (S&M)	Satisfactory 'S'	N/A
25/06/18	Nazir Ahmed (NQM)	Satisfactory 151	N/n.

Name and Signature of the Head of PIU, Date:

## Report of State Quality Monitor (SQM) PART II- Observations of SQM for Ongoing/Completed Work

(To be filled-up by SQM, use additional sheets, if required.)

Stage of Work: I II III

## SETTING OUT AND WORKING DRAWING: For all stages of work

#	Danah	Locations of the Bench	Whether Center Line of Carriage Way accurately established and referenced with Marker Pegs and Chainage Boards (Y/N)	Drawing for the work under progress is
	У	<b>y</b> —	y	Y

Grading: Grade: S SRI U If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:

## 2. SITE CLEARANCE AND GRUBBING: For Stage I of Work

#	Grubbing being done as per DPR and Material obtained is being disposed off	available from scarifying existing work	Name the reusable material obtainable from clearance or scarification and indicate approximate quantity and its re-use by the PIU.
	Y		

Grading: Grade S SRI U If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:

## 3. QUALITY ARRANGEMENTS AND ATTENTION TO QUALITY - For all stages of work

Observations about Field Laboratory:

#	Whether Field laboratory Established (Y/N)	List the equipments available.	Whether adequate Equipments as per requirement of work are available and are being used. (Y/N)
	Y		Y

**Observations about Mandatory Tests -** Detail out the quantities of various items of works and list the tests required. (Refer to abstract of QC Register Part-I)

#	Item of Work Executed	Quantity	Name of Test	No. requir	of Tests ed	No. of Tests Conducted by PIU/Contractor
	Jo Jo	d				
#	Based on exequantities whether mandatory conducted Yes Partly N	all I mai	ther QC Register intained as per isions.  Yes Partly 1		II mainta results m provision	QC Register Part ined and test onitored as per

Grading: Grade: S SRI U If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:
5

4. **GEOMETRICS:** The SQM should take at-least two measurements in 1 Km length and if it is found that the roadway and carriageway is inadequate SQM may take more observations:

#### Observations -Road way width, Carriage way and Camber.

Ref. RD	Roadway Width (m)	Carriage way Width (m)	Camber in %	Ref. RD	Roadway Width (m)	Carriage way Width (m)	Camber in %
450	6.0	3.75	4.45/				
925	6.0	3.75	4.0%				

#### Observations - Super-elevation and Extra Widening at curves.

Ref. RD	Super Elevation	Extra Widening provided (Y/N)	Ref. RD	Super Elevation	Extra Widening provided (Y/N)
1230	5%	YES			

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
	S

## OBSERVATIONS REGARDING THE QUALITY OF ITEMS OF WORK:

#### 5. Earthwork:

## Observations -Quality of Material for Embankment/ Sub-grade:

50		
	sandy	Y

sug	ggestions fo	S U D or improvement	nt:	s graded U, write	e clear reasons	and
			5			
				FF - 71	6.83196 - N	CONT.
bs	ervation –	Workmanshi	p for Embar	ikment and Sul	o-grade Consti	ruction:
#	Location	MDD kN/m	Field	Deg	gree of Compac	ction
	(RD)	(As per record)	Moisture Content	Field Density kN/m <sup>3</sup>		Compaction adequate. (Y/N)
	925	1.719/10	14.94	1.96	1.705	У
						al File
-						
+						
		** ***	item is grade	d U, write clear i	easons and sug	gestions for
	ade: S					
	ade: S					
			S.			
			S.			
			S.			
im	provement		S.			
bs	ervation –	Side slopes a	S , and profile:			
im	ervation –	Side slopes a	S.		Whether profi	

#### Observations - Earth work in Hilly/Rolling terrain or high Embankments:

#	Location (RD)		Formation is properly dressed and traffic worthy. (Y/N)
		7-94-9-1-	

#### Observations - Longitudinal Gradient in case of road in hilly/rolling terrain:

Ref. Between RD& RD	Longitudinal Gradient	S/U	Ref. Between RD& RD	Longitudinal Gradient	S/U
				Y Part	

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for

6. Sub-Base: (GSB Gode 1)

## Observations - Quality of Material and Workmanship:

#	Location (RD)	Confirms to Grading. (Y/N)	Suitable from plasticity angle. (Y/N)	Whether compaction is adequate. (Y/N)	Observed Thickness of Layer (in mm)	Prescribed Thickness provided (Y/N)
	925 M	У	Y	У	200	Y
				70.00		

Grade: S U for improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions
	S

7. Base Course: (WBM G-3)

Observations- Quality of Material and Workmanship of WBM:

#	Location (RD)	Thickness of each layer of WBM (mm)	Thickness is adequate. (Y/N)	Aggregate confirms to Grading (Y/N)	Filler material is non-plastic to desired extent. (Y/N)	Volume of filler material percent of course aggregate	Whether adequate compaction is done. (Y/N)
	925 M	75	Y	y	Y	221/.	y

**Observations** - Surface evenness: Surface evenness in about 200 m critical representative length of completed WBM:

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for	
	S	

8. Bituminous Course: Premix Carpet/Surface Dressing/ BM/ MPM etc including Seal Coat: Observations - Quality of Material and Workmanship of BT Layer (in case of ongoing works):

Observations about level of cleanliness of WBM surface prior to application of bituminous layer. (if work is ongoing observe the surface. If BT layer laid, assess by carefully removing the BT layer.):

pooper

Observations about Quality of Prime Coat and Tack Coat with respect to quality of material and workmanship - Visual Observation - if work is ongoing:

proper

In case of PMC/BM/MPM/ Seal Coat

In	case of PMC	C/BM/MPM/ S	eal Coat	-	
#	Location (RD)	Whether Course Aggregate confirms to grading. (Y/N)	Whether the binder is of approved grade.  (Y/N)	Write Mixing Temperature and whether it is in permissible limits. (Y/N)	Write Laying Temperature and whether it is in permissible limits. (Y/N)
	925	у	У		
				Faller and Color of the Color o	

Grade: 8 improvement:	U If thi	s item is	graded U	, write cles	ir reasons at	id suggest	ions fo
			S				

Observations - Workmanship of BT layer PMC/BM/MPM (in case of completed works):

#	Location	Th	ickness	Whether surface evenness is
	(RD)	Thickness in mm	Whether thickness is adequate. (Y/N)	within acceptable limits. (Y/N)
	925	20	1	y


Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
	5

## 9. Observations - Quality of Shoulders:

#	RD of observation	Thickness of layer in mm	Whether quality of the material is acceptable. (Y/N)	Whether quality of compaction workmanship is acceptable.(Y/N)	Whether Shoulders being constructed simultaneously with sub-base and base course (Y/N)

#### 10. Cross Drainage Works: Observations - Quality of CDs:

#	RD at which CD is located	Type of CD	Whether quality of the material is acceptable. (Y/N)	
	800	HP 7		
	1200	HP	P Y	У
	2800	HP V		



#### 11. Side Drains and Catch water Drains: Observations:

#	Reference of RDs where side drain constructed.	The state of the s	Whether general quality of the side drains/ catchwater drains is acceptable. (Y/N)	

	 	-	
Grade: suggestic			If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and nt:
	/		

#### 12. CC/ Semi-Rigid (SR) Pavements and Associated Pucca Side Drains:

#	Reference of		Thic	kness	General	General
	RDs, CC/SR Pavements provided.	which observati on made.	Thickness in mm	Acceptable (Y/N)	quality of material is acceptable. (Y/N)	quality of workmanship acceptable(Y/ N)
		2750 m	200	Y	У	у.
				STATE OF THE STATE		

Comments about adequacy	of face/main walls,	, wings and retaining walls	s:
-------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	----

E TOTAL		
		2
HA" LA		

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
	5

#### 13. Road Furniture and Markings

Observations - Item No. 14 a: Quality Road Furniture and Markings:

Main Informatory Board Fixed:

Yes No

Citizen Information Board Fixed:

Grade: S U improvement:

If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for


5

Observations - Quality Road Furniture and Markings:

- 13.1.1. Logo Boards Fixed:
- 13.1.2. 200m. Stones fixed:
- 13.1.3. 1 Km. Stone fixed:
- 13.1.4. Guard Stones fixed on Curves:
- 13.1.5. Mandatory and Cautionary Signage

Yes No

- Yes No
- Yes No
- Yes No
- Yes No



**Grade:** S U If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:

9

- General Observations of SQM, (including the observations made during the interaction with PIU staff and Contractor's/ Consultant's Engineers):
  - 14.1. Observations about deficiency in project preparation (Give detailed observations about deficiencies in general and items which have been left but are required as per site conditions):

**14.2.** Whether the work has been completed/is in progress as per work programme or the delay has occurred. If delay has occurred, whether the liquidated damages have been withhold or recovered:

**14.3.** Whether the work has been completed within the sanctioned cost, if not, what is the action taken by the PIU (*in case of complete works*):

**14.4.** Observations about the action taken by the PIU on the observations of inspecting officers including SQMs and NQMs. (Clearly offer comments about the action taken on the observations of Departmental Officers, State Quality Monitors and National Quality Monitors).

14.5. Comments about difference in observations made by NQMs/SQMs in earlier inspections (the NQM shall study the earlier inspection reports of NQMs / SQMs, if any and offer his clear comments about the differences in observations, if any).

15. Other observations, if any:

16. Quality Grading of items and sub-items of work: The grading of every sub-item and item of work is given below.

#	out them for observation	Stage of Work	Awardable Grades	Awarded Grades
1	2	3	4	5
	Item 1 – Set	ting Out and Working Dra	awing	
a	Bench Mark and Centre Line	All Stages	S/SRI/U	5
b	Availability of Working Drawing	All Stages	S/SRI/U	S
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	5
	Item 2 – S	ite Clearance and Grubbi	ng	
a	Site Clearance and Grubbing	Stage-I	S/SRI/U	S
b	Re-use of Salvageable Material	Stage-I	S/SRI/U	5
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	S
	Item 3	- Quality Arrangements		
a	Quality Arrangements	All Stages	S/SRI/U	5
b	Number of Mandatory Tests as per prescribed frequency	All Stages	S/SRI/U	5
c	Maintenance of QC Registers	All-Stages	S/SRI/U	S
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	5
	It	em 4 – Geometrics		
a	Road way width	2 per Km in every inspection	S/U	5
b	Carriageway width	2 per Km in every inspection	S/U	5
e	Camber	2 per km	S/U	5
i	Super-elevation & Extra Widening at Curves	1 curve in each km	S/U	S
		Item Grade	S/U	S
2	Item 5A - Earth Work a	nd Sub-grade in Embankı	ment/ Cutting	
	Quality of Material for Embankment/ Sub-grade	In Stage-I, 1 per km/ In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	5
	Compaction	In Stage-I, 2 per km/ In Stage- II or III, 2 per km	S/U	S
	Side Slopes and Profile	2 per km in Stage III	S/U	5

-	Item 5B - Earth Wo	rk in Cutting in Hilly/ Rolli	ng Terrain	
,	Stability and Workmanship of Cut Slopes	Stage I and II, at 2 critical locations with maximum height of cutting in each km	S/U	NA
b	Adequacy of Slope Protection	All Stages - In general	S/U	NA
e	Upon completion of formation cutting, dressing, traffic worthiness	At Stage III, at 2 critical locations with maximum height of cutting in each km	S/U	HA
d	Longitudinal Gradient	Stage II/III - 1 critical and fairly representative stretch of 200m in each Km	S/U	NA
		Item Grade	S/U	IYA
		Item 6 - Sub-Base		
	Quality of Material			
a	Grain Size	In Stage- II or III, 1 per	S/U	5
b	Plasticity	km	S/U	5
c	Compaction	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	S
d	Total Thickness of Layer	2 per Km	S/U	_5
		Item Grade	S/U	5
	Item 7 - Base 0	Course – Water Bound Ma	cadam	
a	Grain Size of Course Aggregate		S/U	S
b	Test for Liquid Limit and Plasticity Index in case fine aggregates are crushable type	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	S
c	Volumetric Analysis for assessment of compaction of WBM	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	5
d	Surface Evenness using straight edge	In completed WBM 2 tests per km	S/U	S
e	Thickness of every layer of WBM.	2 per Km	S/U	5
		Item Grade	S/U	5

	Item 8 - Bituminous Layer –	Premix Carpet (PMC)/ St	irface Dress	ing (SD)
a	Level of cleanliness of WBM surface prior to application of bituminous layer	1 per Km	S/U	_
b	Quality of Prime Coat/ Tack Coat with respect to quality of material and workmanship	1 observation on the day of inspection	S/U	_
c	Gradation Test for Course Aggregate (if the work in the item is ongoing)/visual observation in case of completed item of work	1 test on the day of inspection	S/U	
d	Grade of bitumen and temperature at the time of mixing and laying (if the work in the item is ongoing)	1 test on the day of inspection	S/U	-
e	Bitumen Extraction Test if PMC is complete	1 test per Km	S/U	-
f	Thickness of layer	2 per Km	S/U	5
g	Surface Evenness in case of completed BT work	2 per Km	S/U	5
		Item Grade	S/U	5
	I	tem 9 – Shoulders		
a	Quality of material for shoulders	In Stage- II or III, 1 test per Km	S/SRI/U	
b	Degree of compaction	In Stage- II or III, 1 test per Km	S/SRI/U	
c	Thickness of layer	In Stage- II or III, 2 tests per km	S/SRI/U	
Ite	m Grade		S/SRI/U	
	Item 10 - Cross Drainage Works	- Causeways of all spans span.	and Culvert	s upto 6 m.
a	Quality of Material – Concrete, Stone/ brick masonry, Hume pipes including size etc.	All Stages	S/SRI/U	S
b	Quality of Workmanship such as positioning of pipes, wing walls, cushion over H Pipes etc.	All Stages	S/SRI/U	5
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	1

	Item 11 - Side	Drain and Catch Water I	)rain	
a	General quality of Side Drains/ Catch Water Drains and their integration with CDs.	All Stages	S/SRI/U	HA
es aprovo		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	
	Item 12 - CC/ Semi Rigid	Pavements and Associate	d Pukka Dr	ains
a	Quality of Material – Concrete, Stone/ Concrete Block Pavement etc.	In Stage- II or III, I per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	5
b	Strength of CC in Concrete Pavement/ Concrete Block Pavement	In Stage- II or III,1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	5
c	Quality of Workmanship – Wearing surface texture, Adequacy of setting of concrete, Joints, Edges etc.	In Stage- II or III	S/U	5
d	Thickness of Layer	In Stage- II or III, 1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	S
		Item Grade	S/U	5
	Item 13 - R	oad Furniture and Markin	igs	
a	Citizen Information Board, Main Informatory Board, Quality and whether fixed during construction.	Stage-I	S/U	S
b	Logo boards, 200 m stones and Km stones, quality and whether fixed after completion.	Stage-III	S/U	
c	Whether the information in boards is given in local language.	Stage-I and III	S/U	S
		Item Grade	S/U	5

17. Overall Grading of Work: The overall grading calculated on the basis of item and sub-item wise grading is given below:

Item No.	Sub Item for Observation	Awarded Grade
Item No 1	em No 1 Setting Out and Working Drawing	
Item No 2	Site Clearance and Grubbing	5
Item No 3	Quality Arrangements	3
Item No 4	Geometrics	S
Item No 5 A	Earth Work and Sub-grade in Embankment/ Cutting	5
Item No 5 B	Earth Work in Cutting in Hilly/ Rolling Terrain	
Item No 6	Sub-Base	5
Item No 7	Base Course – Water Bound Macadam	5
Item No 8	Bituminous Layer – Premix Carpet (PMC)/ Surface Dressing (SD)	5
Item No 9	Shoulders	S
Item No 10	Cross Drainage Works – Causeways of all spans and Culverts upto 6 m. span.	S
Item No 11	Side Drain and Catch Water Drain	
Item No 12	tem No 12 CC/ Semi Rigid Pavements and Associated Pukka Drains	
Item No 13	Road Furniture and Markings	S
	Overall Grading	3

Signature:	1 -1/18 -01
Name:	18 30 LUIS 30 L
Date:	Troo

#### **TEST FOR WATER BOUND MACADAM BASE**

Sieve Analysis of Aggregate (2386 Part-1) WBM-Grade-III

Name of Road: Kharoa LO28 To Koyla Basua

Location :-

Date of Testing: 28/11/2018

Type of Material:-

Weight Sample Taken: 43230

gm

I.S.Sive Designation	Weight of Sample Reained (gm)	Percent of Wt. Retained (%)	Comulative Percent of Wt. Retained (%)	Percentage of Wt. Passing	Prescribed Limit % Wt. Passing/Retained
63.00 mm	000.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
53.00 mm	1189	2.75	2175	97.25	95-100
45.00 mm	14266	33. W	35.75	64.25	65-90
22.4 mm	24641	57.NO	92.75	7.25	0-10
11.2 mm	1621	3.75	96.50	3,50	0-5
Pan	1513	3.50	100.00		

Checked by:

JE Gogn.

Tested by:

## **TEST FOR GRANULAR SUB BASE**

Sieve Analysis of Aggregate for G.S.B. Grading-I G.S.B. Grading-I

Name of Road: Kharoa LO28 to Koyla Basuq

Location :-

Date of Testing: 28/12/2018

Type of Material:-

Weight Sample Taken: 86520

gm.

I.S.Sive Designation	Weight of Sample Reained (gm)	Percent of Wt. Retained (%)	Comulative Percent of Wt. Retained (%)	Percentage of Passing (%)	Permissible Value
75.00 mm		0.00	0.00	100.00	100
26.50 mm	39367	45-50	45.50	54.50	55 - 75
4.75 mm	25523	29.50	75.00	25-00	10 - 30
0.075 mm	15141	17.00	92.50	7.50	< 10
Pan	6489	7.5	100.00		

Checked by:

23/2/18

Tested by:

#### FIELD DENSITY BY CORE CUTTER METHOD

(IS: 2720 PART - 29)

Name of Road: Kharoa Loz8 to Koyla Basua

Package No.: BR-17 R 139.

Date of Testing:- 2812 2018

	1	2	3
Chainage: (km)			
Wt. of Core Cutter in (gm)	924		
Volume of Core Cutter (cc)	1021		
Wt. of Core Cutter with soil in (gm)	2925-16		
Wt. of Soil (gm)	2001-16		
Bulk Density Yb (gm/cc)	1.96		
Reading of R.M.M (m%)	13		
Moisture Content W%- $\frac{m}{100\text{-m}}$ x 100	14.94		
Dry Density Yd (gm/cc)	1.705		
Maximum Dry Density (M.D.D) (gm/cc)	1.71*		
Percentage of Compaction (%)	99.71		

Checked by:

8) 18 28 718

Tested by: