RWD W División Bhagalpur

Format 1- Part I

Format for information to SQM for Inspection of PMGSY Work PART I- Work Information (To be filled-up by PIU)

GE	NERAL:	Work is	Ongoing	Completed
1.1.	Date of Inspection:	DD MM	YY 2	19.12.2010
1.2.	Name of State Quality	Monitor:	0	8.12.2018
1.3.	District: Bk	esalow Block		MOD KUMAR SINGH
1.4.	Name of Road: Fro	m PMGSY Polt		//
1.5.			11000000	
1.6. = To	Length: Km Flexib	le Pavement,	Km. CC/other	Pavement
1.7.	Estimated Cost (As clear	red by GOI):	Rs.	37,853 Lakh
1.8.	Technical Sanction Co	st:	Rs. 34.	0.6 FevLakh
1.9.	The Work is a Case of	New conn	ectivity U	p gradation
1.10.	Terrain Plan	in Rolling Hi	illy	
1.11.	Date of Start of the Wor	k:	206	18
1.12.	Stipulated Date of Comp	oletion:	19/12	18
1.13.	Actual Date of Comple	tion (if work com	pleted):	
2. Progra	PHYSICAL PROGRI	ESS: (In case of (ks only) Construction

Item	Completed percentage of Item	Dates for completion	Start	Completion Date	Delay in Months
Earth Work	100%	Due	Date	Date	Months
		Actual			+
CD Works	100%	Due			+
	100/	Actual		-	
Sub base i/c	1 . 10	Due			
Shoulders	100%	Actual			+
Base Course (Non	1. 10	Due			_
Bitu.)	100%	Actual			_
Base /Wearing	1.07	Due		_	-
Course (Bitu.)	100/	Actual			
CC Pavement		Due			-
		Actual			_
Signage etc	1001	Due			
	100%	Actual			

3. QUALITY CONTROL:

- 3.1. Location of Field Laboratory:
- 3.2. Quality Control Register Part-I is maintained by:
- 3.3. Quality Control Register Part-II is maintained by:

4. INSPECTIONS BY NQM, SQM or SENIOR OFFICERS AND ACTION TAKEN:

Inspection by NQMs, SQMs and senior (i.e. SE or CE) departmental officers and action taken statement:

Inspected By	Observations	Action Taken by PIU with Date
T.E.	's"	
	Ву	Ву

Name and Signature of the Head of PIU, Date:

32

Assistant Engineer Rural Works Department Works Sub. Division Sultanganj, Bhagalpur

Report of State Quality Monitor (SQM) PART II— Observations of SQM for Ongoing/Completed Work

(To be filled-up by SQM, use additional sheets, if required.)

Stage of Work: I II III

1.	SETTING	OUT AND WO	RKING DRAWING: For all	stages of	work	
#	Whether Bench marks @ 4 per km	Locations of the Bench	Carriage Way accurately	Whether prepared Drawing under	for the progress	operly orking work is

Boards (Y/N)

1 7	-	У	<u> </u>
Grading: Grade: Suggestions for in		this item is graded SRI/	U, write clear reasons and
		- 01	
		7.	

2. SITE CLEARANCE AND GRUBBING: For Stage I of Work

(Y/N)

#	Grubbing being done as per DPR an Material obtained	available from scarifying existing work or clearing operations	Name the reusable material obtainable from clearance or scarification and indicate approximate quantity and its re-use by the PIU.
	7	N	NA

	-			If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and
suggestions for	improvement:			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
				5

3. QUALITY ARRANGEMENTS AND ATTENTION TO QUALITY - For all stages of work Observations about Field Laboratory:

#	Whether Field laboratory Established (Y/N)	List the equipments Available.	Whether adequate Equipments as per requirement of work are Available and are being used. (Y/N)
	Y	-	y

Observations about Mandatory Tests - Detail out the quantities of various items of works and list the tests required. (Refer to abstract of QC Register Part-I)

Item of Work Executed	Quantity	Name of Test			No. of Tests Conducted by PIU/Contractor
As ben	J.C.	regste	-, 4	W Y	e prine
ACTION A	<u>a</u> ~	blen	400	•	
			Part		QC Register Part
mandatory conducted.	tests provi	sions.	No.	results mo	onitored as per
74		74			44
		S'	S DIW	, with clea	i reasons and
	Based on executed Wes Partly No. Ading: Grade: S S	Based on executed quantities whether all main mandatory tests conducted. Yes Partly No	Based on executed quantities whether all maintained as per provisions. Yes Partly No Yes Partly No	Based on executed quantities whether all mandatory tests conducted. Yes Partly No Yes Partly No	Based on executed quantities whether all mandatory tests conducted. Yes Partly No Yes Partly No Test required Required Whether QC Register Part II maintained as per provisions. Yes Partly No Yes Partly No

4. GEOMETRICS: The SQM should take at-least two measurements in 1 Km length and if it is found that the roadway and carriageway is inadequate SQM may take more observations:

Observations -Road way width, Carriage way and Camber.

Ref. RD	Roadway Width (m)	Carriage way Width (m)	Camber in %	Ref. RD	Roadway Width (m)	Carriage way Width (m)	Camber in %
480H	6.15	3.71	3.05/		D59	Sufan	

Observations - Super-elevation and Extra Widening at curves.

Ref. RD	Super Elevation	Extra Widening provided (Y/N)	Ref. RD	Super Elevation	Extra Widening provided (Y/N)
50 M	5-15%.	7 -			
		/			

Grade:	S U	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
		3'

5. OBSERVATIONS REGARDING THE QUALITY OF ITEMS OF WORKS. Earthwork:

Observations - Quality of Material for Embankment/ Sub-grade:

#	Location (RD)	On Visual Classification identify the Group Symbol and write	Quality of material is acceptable. (Y/N)
	480 M	Sandy Soil	7

Sugg	estions fo	r improver	nent:			clear reasons an	
				2	,		
serv	vation –W	Vorkmans	hip fo	r Embankn	nent and Sub-g	rade Construction	on:
	ocation	MDD KI		Field	D	egree of Compac	tion
	(RD)	(As p		Moisture Content	Field Density KN/m ³	Dry Density KN/m ³	Compaction adequate.
4	80 M	1.70		9.1.	1.866	1.712	Y
	vement:	U If thi		_	write clear reas	sons and suggesti	ons for
#	Locatio		Whe	ther Side Slo sfactory (Y/N		Whether profit Satisfactory (
	480	М		>		У	

Observations - Earth work in Hilly/Rolling terrain or high Embankments:

#	Location (RD)	Cut Slopes & Profile, whether appears to be stable. (Y/N)		Formation is properly dressed and traffic worthy. (Y/N)
		N	Δ -	

Observations - Longitudinal Gradient in case of road in hilly/rolling terrain:

Ref. Between RD& RD	Longitudinal Gradient	S/U	Ref. Between RD& RD	Longitudinal Gradient	S/U

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
	NA

6. Sub-Base:

Observations - Quality of Material and Workmanship:

#	Location (RD)	Confirms to Grading. (Y/N)	Suitable from plasticity angle. (Y/N)	Whether compaction is adequate. (Y/N)	Observed Thickness of Layer (in mm)	Prescribed Thickness provided (Y/N)
1.	480	y	Y	y	150 MM	y
_				/		

w minow	aneni:		item is graded U,	write clear	tessons a	md sugg	estions
			51				
		•	h				

7. Base Course:

Observations- Quality of Material and Workmanship of WBM:

#	Location (RD)	Thickness of each layer of WBM (mm)	1 13	Aggregate confirms to Grading (Y/N)	Filler material is non-plastic to desired extent.	Volume of filler material percent of course	adequate compaction is done.
1.	480 M	DIMM	Y	V	(Y/N)	aggregate	(Y/N)
1			-/-		Y	21.86 1.	Y
T		-					
+	-						
1							

Observations - Surface evenness: Surface evenness in about 200 m critical representative length of completed WBM:

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item'is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
	'6/
	→

8. Bituminous Course: Premix Carpet/Surface Dressing/ BM/ MPM etc including Seal Coat: Observations - Quality of Material and Workmanship of BT Layer (in case of ongoing works):

Observations about level of cleanliness of WBM surface prior to application of bituminous layer. (if work is ongoing observe the surface. If BT layer laid, assess by carefully removing the BT layer.):

Observations about Quality of Prime Coat and Tack Coat with respect to quality of material and workmanship - Visual Observation - if work is ongoing:

In case of PMC/BM/MPM/ Seal Coat

#	Location (RD)	Whether Course Aggregate confirms to grading. (Y/N)	Whether the binder is of approved grade. (Y/N)	Write Mixing Temperature and whether it is in permissible limits. (Y/N)	Write Laying Temperature and whether it is in permissible limits. (Y/N)

ervations - V	Vorkmanshin	of RT laver DM	C/DM/N	ADM (in any	
Location	Т	hickness	C/DIVI/I		surface evenness i
(RD)	Thickness in	Whether thic			ceptable limits. (Y/
480 M		is adequate.	1/N)		4
1-		1	-		/
a. S I	If this item	is amaded II seei	ta alasa s		
	_ II this item	is graded 0, wil	ie ciear i	casons and s	uggestions for
		/			
		5			
servations -	Quality of Sh	oulders:			
RD of	Thickness	Whether	Wheth	er quality	Whether Shoulde
KD 01				mpaction	being constructe
observation	of layer in	quality of the			being constructe
	of layer in mm	material is	workm	anship is	simultaneously
			workm		
	Location (RD) 480 M le: S U	Location (RD) Thickness in mm 480 M 22 MM le: S U If this item overnent:	Location (RD) Thickness in Whether thic is adequate. (480 M 22 MM y	Location (RD) Thickness Whether thickness is adequate. (Y/N) 480 M 22 MM 480 M 22 MM Thickness is adequate. (Y/N) Thickness is adequate. (Y/N) Thickness is adequate. (Y/N) Thickness is adequate. (Y/N) Thickness is adequate. (Y/N)	Thickness in whether thickness is adequate. (Y/N) 480 m 22 mm Y Ie: S U If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and sovement:

10. Cross Drainage Works: Observations - Quality of CDs:

		(Y/N)	workmanship is Acceptable. (Y/N)
60 M	2×1.50×1.5	, y	V
	RCESIOS CUL	1 /	
150 M	1×1m HC	Y	У
		Reestasent	RCe Slob Cath

Grade: S SRI U If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:

11. Side Drains and Catch water Drains: Observations:

#	Raference	Type of CD	Whether quality of the	Whether quality of workmanship is
	RDs where side drain Constructed.	Which Observation made.	Of the Side drains/Catchwater drains is Acceptable.(Y/N)	Are intregrated to cross drains. (Y/N)
		-N	4 —	

12. CC/ Semi-Rigid (SR) Pavements and Associated Pucca Side Drains:

#	Reference of RD at		Thickness		General	General
	RDs, CC/SR Pavements Provided.	which observation on made.	Thickness in mm	Acceptable (Y/N)	quality of material is Acceptable. (Y/N)	quality of workmanship acceptable(Y/ N)
			- ME	-		

rade: S U	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
	· (/
Road Furniture a	nd Markings No. 14 a: Quality Road Furniture and Markings:
ain Informatory Boar	
izen Information Bo	bard Fixed.

Observations - Quality Road Furniture and Markings:

13.1.1.	Logo Boards Fixed:		Yes	No
13.1.2.	200m. Stones fixed:	L	Yes Yes	No
13.1.3.	,1 Km. Stone fixed:	·	Yes	No
13.1.4.	Guard Stones fixed on Curves:		Yes Yes	No
13.1.5.	Mandatory and Cautionary Signage		Yes	No

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
	1
	3'

- 14. General Observations of SQM, (including the observations made during the interaction with PIU staff and Contractor's/ Consultant's Engineers):
 - 14.1. Observations about deficiency in project preparation (Give detailed observations about deficiencies in general and items which have been left but are required as per site conditions):

No

14.2. Whether the work has been completed/is in progress as per work programme or the delay has occurred. If delay has occurred, whether the liquidated damages have been withhold or recovered:

Worn Completed -

14.3. Whether the work has been completed within the sanctioned cost, if not, what is the action taken by the PIU (in case of complete works):

- NA -

14.4. Observations about the action taken by the PIU on the observations of inspecting officers including SQMs and NQMs. (Clearly offer comments about the action taken on the observations of Departmental Officers, State Quality Monitors and National Quality Monitors).

NO

14.5. Comments about difference in observations made by NQMs/SQMs in earlier inspections (the NQM shall study the earlier inspection reports of NQMs / SQMs, if any and offer his clear comments about the differences in observations, if any).

- NO Comments -

15. Other observations, if any:

Nothing -

16. Quality Grading of items and sub-items of work: The grading of every sub-item and item of work is given below.

#	Sub Item for Observation	Stage of Work	Awardable Grades	Awarded Grades	
1	2	3	4	5	
	Item 1 - Set	ting Out and Working Drawi	ng		
a	Bench Mark and Centre Line	All Stages	S/SRI/U	2	
b	Availability of Working Drawing	All Stages	S/SRI/U	-	
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	2	
	Item 2 -	Site Clearance and Grubbing			
a	Site Clearance and Grubbing	Stage-I	S/SRI/U	2	
b	Re-use of Salvageable Material	Stage-I	S/SRI/U	NA	
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	2	
	Item :	3 - Quality Arrangements			
a	Quality Arrangements	All Stages	S/SRI/U	2	
b	Number of Mandatory Tests as per prescribed frequency	All Stages	S/SRI/U	-	
c	Maintenance of QC Registers	All Stages	S/SRI/U	2	
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	2	
		Item 4 – Geometrics			
a	Road way width	2 per Km in every inspection	S/U	2	
b	Carriageway width	2 per Km in every inspection	S/U	2	
c	Camber	2 per km		2	
d	Super-elevation & Extra Widening at Curves	1 curve in each km	S/U	2	
		Item Grade	S/U	5	
	Item 5A - Earth Work	and Sub-grade in Embankm	ent/ Cutting		
a	Quality of Material for Embankment/ Sub-grade	In Stage-I, 1 per km/ In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	5	
b	Compaction	In Stage-I, 2 per km/ In Stage-II or III, 2 per km	S/U	2	
e	Side Slopes and Profile	2 per km in Stage III	S/U	2	

	Item 5B - Earth Work i	in Cutting in Hilly/Rolling	Terrain	
a	Stability and Workmanship of Cut Slopes	Stage I and II, at 2 critical locations with maximum height of cutting in each km	S/U	
b	Adequacy of Slope Protection	All Stages - In general	S/U	
c	Upon completion of formation cutting, dressing, traffic worthiness At Stage III, at 2 critical locations with maximum height of cutting in each km		S/U	N
d	Longitudinal Gradient	Stage II/III - 1 critical and fairly representative stretch of 200m in each Km	S/U	
		S/U	NA	
		Item 6 - Sub-Base		
	Quality of Material			
a	Grain Size	In Stage- II or III, 1 per	S/U	-
b	Plasticity	km	S/U	-
c	Compaction	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	2
d	Total Thickness of Layer	2 per Km	S/U	2
		Item Grade	S/U	2
	Item 7 - Base C	Course – Water Bound Maca	dam	
a	Grain Size of Course Aggregate		S/U	-
b	Test for Liquid Limit and In Stage- II or III, 1 po		S/U	-
c	Volumetric Analysis for assessment of compaction of WBM	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	2
d	Surface Evenness using straight edge	In completed WBM 2 tests per km	S/U	-
e	Thickness of every layer of WBM.	2 per Km	S/U	2
		Item Grade	S/U	C

a	Level of cleanliness of WBM surface prior to application of bituminous layer	1 per Km	S/U	2
b	Quality of Prime Coat/ Tack Coat with respect to quality of material and workmanship	1 observation on the day of inspection	S/U	+
c	Gradation Test for Course Aggregate (if the work in the item is ongoing)/visual observation in case of completed item of work	1 test on the day of inspection	S/U	-
d	Grade of bitumen and temperature at the time of mixing and laying (if the work in the item is ongoing)	1 test on the day of inspection	S/U	-1
e	Bitumen Extraction Test if PMC is complete	1 test per Km	S/U	-
f	Thickness of layer	2 per Km	S/U	2
g	Surface Evenness in case of completed BT work	2 per Km	S/U	2
	Citiza laboratio Ross.	Item Grade	S/U	2
		Item 9 - Shoulders	sal	1
a	Quality of material for shoulders	In Stage- II or III, 1 test per Km	S/SRI/U	5
b	Degree of compaction	In Stage- II or III, 1 test per Km	S/SRI/U	2
c	Thickness of layer	In Stage- II or III, 2 tests per km	S/SRI/U	-
Ite	m Grade	ation feet til	S/SRI/U	2
	Item 10 - Cross Drainage Works -	- Causeways of all spans span.	and Culverts	upto 6 m.
a	Quality of Material – Concrete, Stone/ brick masonry, Hume pipes including size etc.	All Stages	S/SRI/U	-
b	Quality of Workmanship such as positioning of pipes, wing walls, cushion over H Pipes etc.	All Stages	S/SRI/U	2

	Item 11 - Side	Drain and Catch Water Drain	in	ita of them the
a	General quality of Side Drains/ Catch Water Drains and their integration with CDs.	All Stages	S/SRI/U	NA
	Brow Na 1 Estima On	Item Grade	S/SRI/U	NA
	Item 12 - CC/ Semi Rigid	Pavements and Associated Pu	ikka Drains	
a	Quality of Material - Concrete, Stone/ Concrete Block Pavement etc.	In Stage- II or III, 1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	\
b	Strength of CC in Concrete Pavement/ Concrete Block Pavement	In Stage- II or III,1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	
c	Quality of Workmanship — Wearing surface texture, Adequacy of setting of concrete, Joints, Edges etc.	In Stage- II or III	S/U	NA
d	Thickness of Layer	In Stage- II or III, 1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	
	Rep. 7/4 1) Sch. Liven	Item Grade	S/U	NA
	Item 13 - R	oad Furniture and Markings		100
a	Citizen Information Board, Main Informatory Board, Quality and whether fixed during construction.	Stage-I	S/U	2
b	Logo boards, 200 m stones and Km stones, quality and whether fixed after completion.	Stage-III	S/U	2
c	Whether the information in boards is given in local language.	Stage-I and III	S/U	2
		Item Grade	S/U	C

17. Overall Grading of Work: The overall grading calculated on the basis of item and subitem wise grading is given below:

Item No.	Sub Item for Observation	Awarded Grade
Item No 1	Setting Out and Working Drawing	3
Item No 2	Site Clearance and Grubbing	2
Item No 3	em No 3 Quality Arrangements	
Item No 4	Geometrics	2
Item No 5 A Earth Work and Sub-grade in Embankment/		2
Item No 5 B Earth Work in Cutting in Hilly/ Rolling Terrain		NA
tem No 6 Sub-Base		2
Item No 7	tem No 7 Base Course – Water Bound Macadam	
Item No 8	Bituminous Layer – Premix Carpet (PMC)/ Surface Dressing (SD)	
Item No 9	Shoulders	2
Item No 10	Cross Drainage Works - Causeways of all Spans and Culverts up to 6 m. span.	2
Item No 11	Side Drain and Catch Water Drain	NA
Item No 12 CC/ Semi Rigid Pavements and Associated Pukka Drains		ИА
Item No 13	Road Furniture and Markings	2
	Overall Grading	S

Signature :

Name: 5

: 28/12/18 3 his ~ 05 mm +m2

Date:

24-12-18

OBSERVATION SHEET

Name of work: PMGSY Path Homora Shir Mardin to Location: 480 M

Date of Testing: 28.12.18

Package No.:....

Sieve Analysis of Aggregate for G.S.B. Grading-I

I.S. Sieve Designation in mm	Weight of Sample Retained (gm)	Percentage of Wt. Retained (%)	Cumulative Percentage of Wt. Retained (%)	Percentage of Passing (%)	Permissible Value
75 mm	0	0	D	100	100
26.5 mm	18982	34.33	34.33	65.67	55-75
4.75 mm	213/0	38.54	72.89	27. BB	10-30
0.075 mm	14998	27.13	100-00	0-00	<10
PAN				0-00	
Total wt. of Sample	55290	10/0/	3-50 BHC+-	3 054	

Sieve Analysis of Aggregate for W.B.M. Grading-2

I.S. Sieve	Weight of	Percentage of	Cumulative	Percentage	Permissible			
Designation in mm	Sample Retained (gm)	Wt. Retained (%)	Percentage of Wt. Retained (%)	of Passing (%)	Value			
90 mm					100			
63 mm			,		90-100			
53 mm					25-75			
45 mm	1	1			0-15			
22.4 mm					0-5			
PAN								
Total wt. of Sample								
		% of Screening Materials =						

Sieve Analysis of Aggregate for W.B.M. Grading-3

I.S. Sieve	Weight of	Percentage of	Cumulative	Percentage	Permissible	
Designation in mm	Sample Retained	Wt. Retained	Percentage of Wt.	of Passing	Value	
	(gm)	(%)	Retained (%)	(%)		
63 mm	0	0			100	
53 mm	806	2.18	2-18	97.82	95-100	
45 mm	5480	14.83	17.01	82.99	65-90	
22.4 mm	27444	74.25	91-26	8.74	0-10	
11.2 mm	3230	8.74	100.00	0.00	0-5	
PAN						
Total wt. of Sample	36960					
	% of Screening Materials = 21.86./.					

Checked by

Rural Works Department

Tested by

OBSERVATION SHEET FOR DENSITY OF SOIL

(By Core Cutter Method)

PMGJy Path Hemme Shirmandi to Barua River

Date of Testing: 28-12.18 Package No.:

Sr. No.	Description	Location : CH	Location : CH	Location : CH	
1	2	3	4		
1.	M.D.D. as per record	1.70 gm/cc OMC = 12.00	gm/cc OMC =	gm/cc OMC =	
2.	Weight of Mould (W) gm	996			
3.	Volume of Mould (V) cc	1020.50			
4.	Weight of (mould+earth) (W1) gm	2900			
5.	Weight of earth (W1-W) gm	1904			
6.	Bulk Density $Y_b = (W1-W)/V$	1.866			
7.	Moisture content (by Rapid Moisture metet (m)	9.1.			
8.	Dry Density $Y_d = 100/(100+m) \times Y_b \text{ gm/cc}$	1.712	SELECT SECTION		
9.	Degree of compaction = Dry Density/Max. Dry Density x 100	100.69	800		

Checked by

Tested by

Rural Works Dapartment Works S. D. Fill as San Sultangan, E. L. Gapar