Format for information to SQM for Inspection of PMGSY Work PART I- Work Information (To be filled-up by PIU)

	Work is Ongoing Completed
1.2.	Pate of Inspection: DES MAL 18 Name of State Quality Monitor: E.E. Mofichari Nimit Fatt Champinen Block: Hansid his to Graving Agricultures.
1.4.	Name of Road: From 167 Character 1907 Package No.: BR-11R-580 Length 1022Km Flexible Pavement 5.50.Km. CC/other Pavementm. =
Total.l	Estimated Cost (As cleared by GOI): Rs. 90,55 Lakh Technical Sanction Cost: Rs. 90,55 Lakh
1.8. 1.9.	The Work is a Case of: New connectivity Up gradation
	Terrain Plain Rolling Hilly Date of Start of the Work: 29 06 017
1.11.	Stipulated Date of Completion: 26 06 018
1.13. 2. Progr	Actual Date of Completion (if work completed): PHYSICAL PROGRESS: (In case of On going works only) Construction amme and Physical Progress:

Item	Completed percentage of Item	Dates for completion	Start Date	Completion Date	Delay in Months
Earth Work	80 Y.	Due			
Latti Work	007.	Actual			
CD Works		Due			
CD WOLKS	100%.	Actual			
Sub base i/c	80 %.	Due			
Shoulders	807.	Actual			
Base Course (Non		Due			
Bitu.)	100 %.	Actual			
Base /Wearing		Due			
Course(Bitu.)		Actual			
CC Pavement		Due			
CC 1 aveinone		Actual			
Signage etc	2.0	Due			
5.55	20	Actual			

3.	QUALITY	CONTROL:
J.	QUALITI	COLLEGE

- 3.1. Location of Field Laboratory: 47 Lily
- 3.2. Quality Control Register Part-I is maintained by: Confort
- 3.3. Quality Control Register Part-II is maintained by:

PZV

4. INSPECTIONS BY NQM, SQM or SENIOR OFFICERS AND ACTION TAKEN:

Inspection by NQMs, SQMs and senior (i.e. SE or CE) departmental officers and action taken statement:

Date of	Inspected By	Observations	Action Taken by PIU with Date
Visit			
21.2.76	Pinha Ros	ris ler	

Bhy 28/12/18

Name and Signature of the Head of PIU, Date:.....

Report of State Quality Monitor (SQM) PART II- Observations of SQM for Ongoing/Completed Work

(To be filled-up by SQM, use additional sheets, if required.)

Stage of Work: I II III

1. SETTING OUT AND WORKING DRAWING: For all stages of work

#	Whether Bench marks @ 4 per km established (Y/N)	the Bench	Whether Center Line of Carriage Way accurately established and referenced with Marker Pegs and Chainage Boards (Y/N)	prepared Working Drawing for the work under progress is
	X	Y	У	Y

Grading: Grade: S SRI U If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:
(5)

2. SITE CLEARANCE AND GRUBBING: For Stage I of Work

#	Grubbing being done as per DPR and	available from scarifying existing work	Name the reusable material obtainable from clearance or scarification and indicate
	Material obtained is being disposed off properly (Y/N)	or clearing operations can be salvaged and reused (Y/N)	approximate quantity and its re-use by the PIU.
	У	×	Y

	/	
Grading: Grade Suggestions for imp		SRI/U, write clear reasons and
	S	
		,

3. QUALITY ARRANGEMENTS AND ATTENTION TO QUALITY - For all stages of work Observations about Field Laboratory:

#	Whether Field laboratory Established (Y/N)	List the equipments available.	Whether adequate Equipments as per requirement of work are available and are being used. (Y/N)
	У	X	Y

Observations about Mandatory Tests - Detail out the quantities of various items of works and list the tests required. (Refer to abstract of QC Register Part-I)

#	Item of Executed	Work	Quantity	Name of Test	No. of Tests required	No. of Tests Conducted by PIU/Contractor
					*/	
				- Jene		
-				n Partir Lemas		
			2660)V'		
	7		100			
		0.1	,		-	
		0				
_	/					
-						
+						
\dashv						

#	Based on executed quantities whether all mandatory tests conducted. Yes Partly No	The state of the s	Whether QC Register Part II maintained and test results monitored as per provisions. Yes Partly No

Grading: Grade:	\$ SRI	U	If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reaso	ns and
suggestions for imp	rovemen	t:	70.30	no una

15

4. GEOMETRICS: The SQM should take at-least two measurements in 1 Km length and if it is found that the roadway and carriageway is inadequate SQM may take more observations:

Observations -Road way width, Carriage way and Camber.

Ref. RD	Roadway Width (m)	Carriage way Width (m)	Camber in %	Ref. RD	Roadway Width (m)	Carriage way Width (m)	Camber in %
80	6.10	4.05	-				
550	6.00	3.75	_				
	3.1						•

Observations - Super-elevation and Extra Widening at curves.

Ref. RD	Super Elevation	Extra Widening provided (Y/N)	Ref. RD	Super Elevation	Extra Widening provided (Y/N)
		provided (1711)			240
			•		

Grade: S U	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
improvement:	
4.77	$\binom{C}{1}$

OBSERVATIONS REGARDING THE QUALITY OF ITEMS OF WORK:

5. Earthwork:

Observations -Quality of Material for Embankment/ Sub-grade:

#	Location (RD)	On Visual Classification identify the Group Symbol and write	Quality of material is acceptable. (Y/N)
	100.0	Somety Clay	Y
	450	- do-	У

Grade: S suggestions for in	nprovement:	s graded U, write clear reason	ns and
eng Ben Hill Strang	S. I		

#	Location	MDD kN/m ³	Field	Deg	ree of Compac	tion
	(RD)	(As per	Moisture	Field Density	Dry Density	Compaction
		record)	Content	kN/m³	kN/m³	adequate.
						(Y/N)
				1		
			1	factory		
			Salla	6		

(S)	Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for	
		(S)	

Observation – Side slopes and profile:

#	Location (RD)	Whether Side Slopes Satisfactory (Y/N)	Whether profile is Satisfactory (Y/N)
	100.0	À	У
	600.0	Y	Y

Observations - Earth work in Hilly/Rolling terrain or high Embankments:

#	Location (RD)	Cut Slopes & Profile, whether appears to be stable. (Y/N)	Adequate slope protection works executed. (Y/N)	Formation is properly dressed and traffic worthy. (Y/N)
		NA		

Observations - Longitudinal Gradient in case of road in hilly/rolling terrain:


Ref. Between RD& RD	Longitudinal Gradient	S/U	Ref. Between RD& RD	Longitudinal Gradient	S/U
	,	NA			

Grade: S U	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
improvement:	

6. Sub-Base:

Observations - Quality of Material and Workmanship:

#	Location	Confirms	Suitable	Whether	Observed	Prescribed
	(RD)	to	from	compaction	Thickness	Thickness
		Grading.	plasticity	is adequate.	of Layer	provided
		(Y/N)	angle.	(Y/N)	(in mm)	(Y/N)
			(Y/N)			
	550M	У	χ	Y	200mm	À
	1300 M	У	У	Y	201 mm	Х
	<u>f</u>					



7. Base Course:

Observations- Quality of Material and Workmanship of WBM:

#	Location (RD)	Thickness of each layer of WBM (mm)	Thickness is adequate. (Y/N)	Aggregate confirms to Grading (Y/N)	Filler material is non-plastic to desired extent. (Y/N)	Volume of filler material percent of course aggregate	Whether adequate compaction is done. (Y/N)
	550M		У	У	Ý	Ÿ	У
	1300y	75mm	V	У	Y	X	Y
			*)				

Observations - Surface evenness: Surface evenness in about 200 m critical representative length of completed WBM:

Grade: U If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:

(5)

8. Bituminous Course: Premix Carpet/Surface Dressing/ BM/ MPM etc including Seal Coat: Observations - Quality of Material and Workmanship of BT Layer (in case of ongoing works):

Observations about level of cleanliness of WBM surface prior to application of bituminous layer. (if work is ongoing observe the surface. If BT layer laid, assess by carefully removing the BT layer.):

Not Xet Start

Observations about Quality of Prime Coat and Tack Coat with respect to quality of material and workmanship - Visual Observation - if work is ongoing:

In case of PMC/BM/MPM/ Seal Coat

	i case of I ivi	Christian 184 2	cai coat		
#	Location	Whether	Whether the	Write Mixing	Write Laying
	(RD)	Course	binder is of	Temperature	Temperature
		Aggregate	approved grade.	and whether it is	and whether it
	1	confirms to	(Y/N)	in permissible	is in
		grading.		limits. (Y/N)	permissible
		(Y/N)			limits. (Y/N)
		·	-		
		4	Yet stent		
		Hot	1.65		
				,	
	1.				

Grade: S mprovem			ons and suggestions for
	5)	

Observations - Workmanship of BT layer PMC/BM/MPM (in case of completed

wor	ks):			Whether surface evenness is	
# Location		Thi	ickness	1.1 1:	
	(RD)	Thickness in	Whether thickness	within acceptable limits. (Y/N)	
	(100)	mm	is adequate. (Y/N)		
			inid		
			spart spart		
	,		Not Yot		

Grade: S U improvement:	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
improvement.	
	•

9. Observations - Quality of Shoulders:

#	RD of observation	Thickness of layer in mm	quality of the	of compaction workmanship is	Whether Shoulders being constructed simultaneously with sub-base and base course (Y/N)
,	550 m	270 mm	X	У	У
	13004	275mm	À	У	У

10. Cross Drainage Works: Observations - Quality of CDs:

0. #	RD at which CD is located	Type of CD	Whether quality of the material is acceptable. (Y/N)	Whether quality workmanship acceptable. (Y/N)	of is
-	75.0M	1×1000mm Headert	У	Х	
	520 M	-4-	У	У	

	t and
Grade: S SRI U	If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and
suggestions for improvement	
	(5)
CB4.	

11. Side Drains and Catch water Drains: Observations:

	5.40 -		1 1:4.	Whether side drains
#			Whether general quality	integrated to
1"	RDs where side	which	of the side drains/ catch-	are integrated to
	drain	observation	Water arms	cross drains. (Y/N)
	constructed.	made.	acceptable. (Y/N)	
_	constructed.			
		N		
-				

Grade: S SRI U	If this item is graded SRI/U, write clear reasons and
suggestions for improvement	ent:
,	

12. CC/ Semi-Rigid (SR) Pavements and Associated Pucca Side Drains:

2.	Reference of	1700 8 1400 2 1	Thic	kness	General quality of	General quality of
#	Reference of RDs, CC/SR Pavements provided.	The state of the s	Thickness in mm	Acceptable (Y/N)	material is acceptable. (Y/N)	workmanship acceptable(Y/ N)
\dashv		N.		cfort		
		No	t yest to			
4	1.	No				
+						

Comments about adequacy of face/main walls, wings and retaining walls:

7 7 4 2.3			

Grade: S U	If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for
improvement:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	• 4
	*

13. Road Furniture and Markings

Observations - Item No. 14 a: Quality Road Furniture and Markings:

Main Informatory Board Fixed:

Yes No

Citizen Information Board Fixed:

Grade: S U improvement:

If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for

(<)

Observations - Quality Road Furniture and Markings:

- 13.1.1. Logo Boards Fixed:
- 13.1.2. 200m. Stones fixed:
- 13.1.3. 1 Km. Stone fixed:
- 13.1.4. Guard Stones fixed on Curves:
- 13.1.5. Mandatory and Cautionary Signage

Yes	No
	Yes

Yes No

work in Progress

Yes No

Yes No

Grade: S U If this item is graded U, write clear reasons and suggestions for improvement:

15

- 14. General Observations of SQM, (including the observations made during the interaction with PIU staff and Contractor's/ Consultant's Engineers):
 - 14.1. Observations about deficiency in project preparation (Give detailed observations about deficiencies in general and items which have been left but are required as per site conditions):

14.2. Whether the work has been completed/is in progress as per work programme or the delay has occurred. If delay has occurred, whether the liquidated damages have been withhold or recovered:

14.3. Whether the work has been completed within the sanctioned cost, if not, what is the action taken by the PIU (in case of complete works):

14.4. Observations about the action taken by the PIU on the observations of inspecting officers including SQMs and NQMs. (Clearly offer comments about the action taken on the observations of Departmental Officers, State Quality Monitors and National Quality Monitors).

14.5. Comments about difference in observations made by NQMs/SQMs in earlier inspections (the NQM shall study the earlier inspection reports of NQMs / SQMs, if any and offer his clear comments about the differences in observations, if any).



16. Quality Grading of items and sub-items of work: The grading of every sub-item and item of work is given below.

#	Sub Item for Observation	Stage of Work	Awardable Grades	Awarded Grades
1	2	3	4	5
	Item 1 – Settin	g Out and Working Drav	ving	
a	Bench Mark and Centre Line	All Stages	S/SRI/U	S
b	Availability of Working Drawing	All Stages	S/SRI/U	S
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	5
	Item 2 – Si	te Clearance and Grubbii	ng	100
a	Site Clearance and Grubbing	Stage-I	S/SRI/U	S
b	Re-use of Salvageable Material	Stage-I	S/SRI/U	
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	S
	Item 3	- Quality Arrangements		
a	Quality Arrangements	All Stages	S/SRI/U	S
b	Number of Mandatory Tests as per prescribed frequency	All Stages	S/SRI/U	S
c	Maintenance of QC Registers	All Stages	S/SRI/U	9
		Item Grade	e S/SRI/U	S
	I	tem 4 – Geometrics		
a	Road way width	2 per Km in every inspection S/U		S
b	Carriageway width	2 per Km in every inspection	S/U	S
c	Camber	2 per km	S/U	5
d	Super-elevation & Extra Widening at Curves	1 curve in each km	S/U .	5
		Item Gra	de S/U	S
	Item 5A - Earth Work	and Sub-grade in Emb	ankment/ C	utting
a	Quality of Material for Embankment/ Sub-grade	In Stage-I, 1 per km/ In Stage- II or III, 1 per km		Ç
b	Compaction	In Stage-I, 2 per km/ In Stage- II or III, 2 p km	er S/U	9
2	Side Slopes and Profile	2 per km in Stage III	S/U	S

	Item 5B - Earth Wor	k in Cutting in Hilly/ Rollin	g Terrain	
а	Stability and Workmanship of Cut Slopes	Stage I and II, at 2 critical locations with maximum height of cutting in each km	S/U	
b	Adequacy of Slope Protection	All Stages - In general	S/U	
c	Upon completion of formation cutting, dressing, traffic worthiness	At Stage III, at 2 critical locations with maximum height of cutting in each km	S/U	ZA
d	Longitudinal Gradient	Stage II/III - 1 critical and fairly representative stretch of 200m in each Km	S/U	
		Item Grade	S/U	•
		Item 6 - Sub-Base		
	Quality of Material			
a	Grain Size	In Stage- II or III, 1 per		S
b	Plasticity	km	S/U	S
:	Compaction	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	S
i	Total Thickness of Layer	2 per Km	S/U	S
		Item Grade	S/U	S
	Item 7 - Base C	ourse – Water Bound Ma	icadam	
	Grain Size of Course Aggregate		S/U	S
	Test for Liquid Limit and Plasticity Index in case fine aggregates are crushable type	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	5
	Volumetric Analysis for assessment of compaction of WBM	In Stage- II or III, 1 per km	S/U	S
- 1	Surface Evenness using straight edge	In completed WBM 2 tests per km	Ŝ/U	S
	Thickness of every layer of WBM.	2 per Km	S/U	S
		Item Grad	le S/U	S

	Item 8 - Bituminous Layer - P	remix Carpet (PMC)/ Sur	face	Dressing ((SD)	tion in
a	Level of cleanliness of WBM surface prior to application of bituminous layer	1 per Km	S/U			
b		1 observation on the day of inspection	S/U			
c	Gradation Test for Course Aggregate (if the work in the item is ongoing)/visual observation in case of completed item of work	1 test on the day of inspection	S/U			
d	Grade of bitumen and temperature at the time of mixing and laying (if the work in the item is ongoing)	l test on the day of inspection	S/	U		la .
e	Bitumen Extraction Test if PMC is complete	1 test per Km	S	/U	-	
f	Thickness of layer	2 per Km	S/U			
g	Surface Evenness in case of completed BT work	2 per Km	S/U			
	•	Item Grad	e S	S/U		
]	Item 9 – Shoulders				
a	Quality of material for shoulders	per Km	•			5
b	Degree of compaction	In Stage- II or III, 1 test per Km		S/SRI/U		5
c	Thickness of layer	In Stage- II or III, 2 tes per km	ts	S/SRI/U		5
	m Grade			S/SRI/U		5
	Item 10 - Cross Drainage Work		ans	and Culve	erts u	pto 6 m
	1	span.				
a	Quality of Material – Concrete Stone/ brick masonry, Hume pipes including size etc.	All Stages	All Stages			5
b	Quality of Workmanship such as positioning of pipes, wing walls, cushion over H Pipes etc.	All Stages		S/SRI/U	J	5
		Item G		S/SRI/U	T	5

	Item 11 - Side	Drain and Catch Water D	rain	
а	General quality of Side Drains/ Catch Water Drains and their integration with CDs.	All Stages	S/SRI/U	
		Item Grade	S/SRI/U	
	Item 12 - CC/ Semi Rigid	Pavements and Associate	d Pukka Dra	ins
a	Quality of Material – Concrete, Stone/ Concrete Block Pavement etc.	In Stage- II or III, 1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	
b	Strength of CC in Concrete Pavement/ Concrete Block Pavement	In Stage- II or III,1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	
c	Quality of Workmanship – Wearing surface texture, Adequacy of setting of concrete, Joints, Edges etc.	In Stage- II or III	S/U	
d	Thickness of Layer	In Stage- II or III, 1 per 100 m. Length of Pavement	S/U	
		Item Grade	S/U	
	Item 13 - Re	oad Furniture and Mark	ings	
	Citizen Information Board, Main Informatory Board, Quality and whether fixed during construction.	Stage-I	S/U	S
	Logo boards, 200 m stones and Km stones, quality and whether fixed after completion.	Stage-III	S/U	
t	Whether the information in boards is given in local anguage.	Stage-I and III	S/U	
		Item Grad	le S/U	S

17. Overall Grading of Work: The overall grading calculated on the basis of item and sub-item wise grading is given below:

Item No.	Sub Item for Observation	Awarded Grade		
Item No 1	Setting Out and Working Drawing	S		
Item No 2	Site Clearance and Grubbing	5		
Item No 3	Quality Arrangements	3		
Item No 4	Geometrics	9		
Item No 5 A	Earth Work and Sub-grade in Embankment/ Cutting	S		
Item No 5 B	Earth Work in Cutting in Hilly/ Rolling Terrain	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Item No 6	Sub-Base	S		
tem No 7 Base Course – Water Bound Macadam				
Item No 8 Bituminous Layer – Premix Carpet (PMC)/ Surface Dressing (SD)				
Item No 9	Shoulders	S		
Item No 10	Cross Drainage Works – Causeways of all spans and Culverts upto 6 m. span.	9		
Item No 11	Side Drain and Catch Water Drain	-		
Item No 12	tem No 12 CC/ Semi Rigid Pavements and Associated Pukka Drains			
Item No 13	Road Furniture and Markings	S		
9	Overall Grading	S		

Signature:	Chm94 12 18
Name:	las oudra Senge
Date:	28-12-18

Test for Granular Sub Base Grading- i Sieve Analysis (IS: 2720 (Part-4) - 1985) Package No. BR- II R-580

Name of Road: - Chiwadhar + Gowindapurfarijan Tala
Date: 28.12.18

gm.

CH 1300M Sample No.:-)

Weight of Sample 45500

I.S. Sieve Designation	Weight of Sample retained (gm)	Percent of wt. retained (%)	Cumulative Precent of wt. retained (%)	Present of wt. passing (%)	Premisible Value
75 mm	0	0	0	100	100
26.5 mm	13345	29.33	29.33	70,67	55 - 75
4.75 mm	18869	41.47	70,80	29.20	10 - 30
0.075 mm	13286	29.20	100.0		< 10
					5.47

Checked By:

Tested By:

Test for Water Bond Macadam Base Grade-III Sieve Analysis of Aggregate (IS: 2386 Part-1)

Name of Road: - Ghiwadhar to Gowindapour Faritam to La Pate: 28-12-18

Ch +1300 m Sample No.:- 1

Weight of Sample

32087

I.S. Sieve Designation	Weight of Sample retained (gm)	Percent of wt. retained (%)	Cumulative Percent of wt. retained (%)	Present of wt. passing (%)	Permissible Value
63 mm		_		100	100
53 mm	460	1.43	1.47	98.57	95 - 100
45 mm	7882	24.56	25.99	74.01	65 - 90
22.4 mm	21430	66.79	92-78	7,22	0 - 10
11.2 mm	2315	7,22	99.99	0.01	0 - 5

Checked by -

Tested by:-