

Format - "A" (For Roads / Approach Roads)

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1 Name of Scheme- RRSMP | Chainage/Location 165 M, 380M |
| 2 Name of Road L035 to Lakhanpur | |
| 3 Name of Circle Darbhanga | Block- Gaurabauram |
| 4 Name of Division Biraul | Actual Length- 0.650 KM |
| 5 Length of Road (Sanctioned) - 0.650 KM | |
| 6 Date of inspection- 26.11.2025 | |

Sl. No.	Parameters	Remarks
1	Attention to Quality	
I.	Field laboratory established with all necessary equipment (Attach Geo tagged Photographs)	Lab established and Geo tagged Photographs submitted
II.	QC Register Part-1 & Part-2 maintained and mandatory test conducted as per provisions	Yes
III.	Mention the name of tests conducted & their findings related to the following materials	
(a)	Cement/concrete	
(b)	Sand	
(c)	Stone	
(d)	Steel	
	Awarded grade	
2	Geometrics	
I.	Chainage (m)	165 M
II.	Roadway width(m)	Work in Progress
III.	Carriageway width (m)	3.75 M
IV.	Carriageway camber (%)	3.5%
V.	Shoulder width (m)	Work in Progress
VI.	Shoulder camber (%)	Work in Progress
VII.	Side slope (V H)	Yes
VIII.	Super elevation(%) / Widening (m)	N/A
	Awarded grade	'S'

[Signature]

3	Earth Work and sub grade	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Soil identification/classification	N/A
III.	Degree of Compaction (%)	
	Awarded grade	
4	Sub-Base	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Thickness of the layer (mm)	N/A
III.	Gradation of Sub-base material	
IV.	Plasticity of sub base material	
V.	Compaction of sub base layer (%)	
	Awarded grade	
5	Base Coarse-Water Bound Macadam (WMM/WBM)	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Thickness of each layer of WBM/WMM (mm)	
III.	Plasticity of Crushable Aggregate	
IV.	Volume of filter material (%)	
V.	Gradation of Coarse Aggregate	N/A
	Awarded grade	
6	Bituminous Base Coarse (BM)	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Percentage of Bitumen Content	N/A
III.	Thickness of Bituminous layer	
IV.	Grading of Coarse Aggregate	

Signature

	Awarded grade	
7	Bituminous Layer-premix Carpet (PMC) / MSS/ SDBC	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Percentage of Bitumen Content	N/A
III.	Thickness of Bituminous layer	
IV.	Grading of Coarse Aggregate	
V.	Quality of wearing surface (Attach the test report of IRI)	

	Awarded grade	
8	Dry lean Cement Concrete	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Thickness (mm)	N/A
III.	Compressive Strength of CC in Concrete Pavement / Concrete Block	
IV.	Awarded grade	

9	CC/PQC/Panel Concrete Pavements	
I.	Chainage (m)	165 M , 380 M
II.	Thickness of the pavement (mm)	125 MM
III.	Width of the pavement (m)	3.75 M
IV.	Compressive Strength of CC in Concrete Pavement / Concrete Block	CC Rebound Hammer Test Both value $\geq 30 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ Tolerable
V.	Quality of workmanship joints & edges etc.	Yes
VI.	Quality of wearing surface (Attach the test report of IRI)	

	Awarded grade	
8	Shoulders	'S'
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Width of the shoulder (m)	Work in Progress
III.	Quality of material for Shoulders	
IV.	Degree of Compaction (%) (Attach the test report)	

20000000

	Awarded grade	
9	Cross Drainage Works	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	Type of CD structure	
III.	Quality of material, such as concrete(cube test), stone/brick masonry, hume pipe including size etc.	N/A
IV.	Quality of workmanship, such as positioning of Hume pipes, wing walls, cushion over hume pipes, vent clearance etc.	
V.	Parapet Walls	
	Awarded grade	
10	Side Drain and Catch Water Drain	
I.	Chainage (m)	
II.	General quality of side Drains /Catch Water Drains and their integration with CD Structures	N/A
	Awarded grade	
11	Road Furniture and Markings	
I.	Main informatory Board (As per norms)	Yes
II.	Citizen Informatory/ Maintenance Board (As per norms)	Yes
III.	Kilometer post/200 m Stone/ Precautionary/ Mandatory Sign Boards	work is in progress
IV.	Road Marking	
	Awarded grade	'S'

Note:-

- * Attach Test Report
- * Attach Relevant Photographs

(Signature)

Name of AE/EE/SE-

Office-

Arjun
26/11/2023



REBOUND HAMMER TEST RESULT

Name of the works Division RWD Bureau

Name of the work Circle **Dabdhanga**

Name of Agency.....M/S. Jaalubharthi Karmal Singh

Sl. No	Date of Test	Name Of item	MIX As per Agreement	chainage	Rebound Hammer is at Perpendicular to the surface	Average of Rebound No	Average Strength in Kg/cm ²	Required Strength In kg/cm ²	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	26.11.25	PAC	M35	380M	32				
2	"	"	"	"	28				
3	"	"	"	"	30				
4	"	"	"	"	30				
5	"	"	"	"	28				
6	"	"	"	"	34				
7	"	"	"	"	28				
8	"	"	"	"	30				
9	"	"	"	"	32				
					/				
Total					272	30.22	30	35	

Executive Engineer

R.W.D., Regional Laboratory

Darbhangga (Bihar)

REBOUND HAMMER TEST RESULT

Name of the works Division ... RMD ... Bureau ...

Name of the work Circle Daarhanga

Name of Agency..... M/s Tadebath Kumar Singh

S.L. No	Date of Test	Name Of item	MIX As per Agreement	chainage	Rebound Hammer is at Perpendicular to the surface	Average of Rebound No	Average Strength in Kg/cm ²	Required Strength In kg/cm ²	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	26.11.25	PAC	M35	165m	28				
2	"	"	"	"	32				
3	"	"	"	"	30				
4	"	"	"	"	26				
5	"	"	"	"	30				
6	"	"	"	"	32				
7	"	"	"	"	28				
8	"	"	"	"	30				
9	"	"	"	"	32				
Total					268	29.78	30	35	

Assistant Engineer

R.W.D., Regional Laboratory

Darbhanga (Bihar)

Executive Engineer

R.W.D., Regional Laboratory

Darbhanga (Bihar)